

DIRECT IMAGE OF LOGARITHMIC COMPLEXES AND INFINITESIMAL INVARIANTS OF CYCLES

MORIHIKO SAITO

ABSTRACT. We show that the direct image of the filtered logarithmic de Rham complex is a direct sum of filtered logarithmic complexes with coefficients in variations of Hodge structures, using a generalization of the decomposition theorem of Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne to the case of filtered D -modules. The advantage of using the logarithmic complexes is that we have the strictness of the Hodge filtration by Deligne after taking the cohomology group in the projective case. As a corollary, we get the total infinitesimal invariant of a (higher) cycle in a direct sum of the cohomology of filtered logarithmic complexes with coefficients, and this is essentially equivalent to the cohomology class of the cycle.

Introduction

Let X, S be complex manifolds or smooth algebraic varieties over a field of characteristic zero. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism, and D be a divisor on S such that f is smooth over $S \setminus D$. We have a filtered locally free $\mathcal{O}$ -module (V^i, F) on $S \setminus D$ underlying a variation of Hodge structure whose fiber V_s^i at $s \in S \setminus D$ is the cohomology of the fiber $H^i(X_s, \mathbb{C})$. If D is a divisor with normal crossings on S , let $\tilde{V}^i$ denote the Deligne extension [7] of V^i such that the eigenvalues of the residue of the connection are contained in $[0, 1)$. The Hodge filtration F is naturally extended to $\tilde{V}^i$ by [25]. We have the logarithmic de Rham complex

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}^i) = \Omega_S^\bullet(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{V}^i,$$

which has the Hodge filtration F^p defined by $\Omega_S^j(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} F^{p-j} \tilde{V}^i$. In general, V^i can be extended to a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_S$ -module M^i on which a local defining equation of D acts bijectively. By [23], M^i and hence the de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(M^i)$ have the Hodge filtration F . If $Y := f^*D$ is a divisor with normal crossings on X , then $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y)$ has the Hodge filtration F defined by the truncation σ (see [8]) as usual, i.e. $F^p \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y) = \Omega_X^{\bullet \geq p}(\log Y)$.

Theorem 1. *Assume $Y = f^*D$ is a divisor with normal crossings. There is an increasing split filtration L on the filtered complex $\mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F)$ such that we have noncanonical and canonical isomorphisms in the filtered derived category:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) &\simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{DR}(M^i), F)[-i], \\ \mathrm{Gr}_i^L \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) &= (\mathrm{DR}(M^i), F)[-i]. \end{aligned}$$

If D is a divisor with normal crossings, we have also

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) &\simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}^i), F)[-i], \\ \mathrm{Gr}_i^L \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) &= (\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}^i), F)[-i]. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from the decomposition theorem (see [2]) extended to the case of the direct image of $(\mathcal{O}_X, F)$ as a filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -module, see [22]. Note that Hodge modules do not appear in the last statement if D is a divisor with normal crossings. The assertion becomes more complicated in the non logarithmic case, see Remark (i) in (2.5). A splitting of the filtration L is given by choosing the first noncanonical isomorphism in the filtered decomposition theorem, see (1.4.2). A canonical choice of the splitting is given by choosing an relatively ample class, see [9].

Let $\mathrm{CH}^p(X \setminus Y, n)$ be Bloch's higher Chow group, see [3]. In the analytic case, we assume for simplicity that $f : (X, Y) \rightarrow (S, D)$ is the base change of a projective morphism of smooth complex algebraic varieties $f' : (X', Y') \rightarrow (S', D')$ by an open embedding of complex manifolds $S \rightarrow S'_{\mathrm{an}}$, and an element of $\mathrm{CH}^p(X \setminus Y, n)$ is the restriction of an element of $\mathrm{CH}^p(X' \setminus Y', n)$ to $X \setminus Y$. If $n = 0$, we may assume that it is the restriction of an analytic cycle of codimension p on X . From Theorem 1, we can deduce

Corollary 1. *With the above notation and assumption, let $\xi \in \mathrm{CH}^p(X \setminus Y, n)$. Then, choosing a splitting of the filtration L in Theorem 1 (or more precisely, choosing the first noncanonical isomorphism in the filtered decomposition theorem (1.4.2)), we have the total infinitesimal invariant*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{S,D}(\xi) &= (\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi)) \in \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^i(S, F^p \mathrm{DR}(M^{2p-n-i})), \\ (\text{resp. } \bar{\delta}_{S,D}(\xi) &= (\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^i(\xi)) \in \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^i(S, \mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathrm{DR}(M^{2p-n-i})),) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi)$ (resp. $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^i(\xi)$) is independent of the choice of a splitting if the $\delta_{S,D}^j(\xi)$ (resp. $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^j(\xi)$) vanish for $j < i$. In the case D is a divisor with normal crossings, the assertion holds with $\mathrm{DR}(M^{2p-n-i})$ replaced by $\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}^{2p-n-i})$.

This shows that the infinitesimal invariants in [14], [13], [27], [5], [1], [24] can be defined naturally in the cohomology of filtered logarithmic complexes with coefficients in variations of Hodge structures if D is a divisor with normal crossings, see (2.4) for the compatibility with [1]. Note that if S is Stein or affine, then $\mathbf{H}^i(S, F^p \mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}^q))$ is the i -th cohomology group of the complex whose j -th component is $\Gamma(S, \Omega_S^j(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} F^{p-j} \tilde{V}^q)$. If D is empty, then an inductive definition of $\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi)$, $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^i(\xi)$ was given by Shuji Saito [24] using the filtered Leray spectral sequence together with the E_2 -degeneration argument in [6]. He also showed that the infinitesimal invariants depend only on the cohomology class of the cycle. If S is projective, then it follows from [8] that the total infinitesimal invariant $(\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi))$ is equivalent to the cycle class of ξ in $H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2p-n}(X \setminus Y)$ by the strictness of the Hodge filtration, and the filtration L comes from the Leray filtration on the cohomology of $X \setminus Y$, see Remark (iii) in (2.5).

Corollary 1 is useful to study the behavior of the infinitesimal invariants near the boundary of the variety. If D is empty, let $\delta_S^i(\xi)$ denote $\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi)$. We can define $\delta_{\mathrm{DR},S}^i(\xi)$ as in [19] by omitting F^p before DR in Corollary 1 where $D = \emptyset$.

Corollary 2. *Assume S is projective. Let $U = S \setminus D$. Then for each $i \geq 0$, $\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi)$, $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^i(\xi)$, $\delta_U^i(\xi)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{DR},U}^i(\xi)$ are equivalent to each other, i.e. one of them vanishes if and only if the others do.*

Indeed, $(\delta_{\mathrm{DR},U}^i(\xi))$ is determined by $(\delta_U^i(\xi))$, and $(\delta_U^i(\xi))$ by $(\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi))$. Moreover, $(\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi))$ is equivalent to $(\delta_{\mathrm{DR},U}^i(\xi))$ by the strictness of the Hodge filtration [8] applied to (X, Y) together with Theorem 1, see (2.3). For the relation with $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^i(\xi)$, see (2.1). Note that the equivalence between $\delta_U^i(\xi)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{DR},U}^i(\xi)$ in the case of algebraic cycles (i.e. $n = 0$) was first found by J.D. Lewis and Shuji Saito in [19] (assuming a conjecture of Brylinski and Zucker and the Hodge conjecture and using an L^2 -argument). The above arguments seem to be closely related with their question, see also Remark (i) in (2.5) below.

As another corollary of Theorem 1 we have

Corollary 3. *Assume f induces an isomorphism over $S \setminus D$, and $Y = f^*D$ is a divisor with normal crossings on X . Then*

$$R^i f_* \Omega_X^p(\log Y) = 0 \quad \text{if } i + p > \dim X.$$

This follows immediately from Theorem 1 since $M^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Corollary 3 is an analogue of the vanishing theorem of Kodaira-Nakano. However, this does not hold for a non logarithmic complex (e.g. if f is a blow-up with a point center). This corollary was inspired by a question of A. Dimca.

I would like to thank Dimca, Lewis and Shuji Saito for good questions and useful suggestions.

In Section 1, we prove Theorem 1 after reviewing some basic facts on filtered differential complexes. In Section 2 we explain the application of Theorem 1 to the infinitesimal invariants of (higher) cycles. In Section 3 we give some examples using Lefschetz pencils.

1. Direct image of logarithmic complexes

1.1. Filtered differential complexes. Let X be a complex manifold or a smooth algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. Let $D^b F(\mathcal{D}_X)$ (resp. $D^b F(\mathcal{D}_X)^r$) be the bounded derived category of filtered left (resp. right) $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. Let $D^b F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ be the bounded derived category of filtered differential complexes (L, F) where F is exhaustive and locally bounded below (i.e. $F_p = 0$ for $p \ll 0$ locally on X), see [22], 2.2. We have an equivalence of categories

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathrm{DR}^{-1} : D^b F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \rightarrow D^b F(\mathcal{D}_X)^r,$$

whose quasi-inverse is given by the de Rham functor DR^r for right $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, see (1.2) below. Recall that, for a filtered $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module (L, F) , the associated filtered right $\mathcal{D}$ -module $\mathrm{DR}^{-1}(L, F)$ is defined by

$$(1.1.2) \quad \mathrm{DR}^{-1}(L, F) = (L, F) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{D}, F),$$

and the morphisms $(L, F) \rightarrow (L', F)$ in $MF(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff})$ correspond bijectively to the morphisms of filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules $\mathrm{DR}^{-1}(L, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}^{-1}(L', F)$. More precisely, the condition on $(L, F) \rightarrow (L', F)$ is that the composition

$$F_p L \rightarrow L \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L'/F_q L'$$

is a differential operator of order $\leq p - q - 1$. The proof of (1.1.1) can be reduced to the canonical filtered quasi-isomorphism for a filtered right $\mathcal{D}$ -module (M, F)

$$\mathrm{DR}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{DR}^r(M, F) \rightarrow (M, F),$$

which follows from a calculation of a Koszul complex.

Note that the direct image f_* of filtered differential complexes is defined by the sheaf-theoretic direct image $\mathbf{R}f_*$, and this direct image is compatible with the direct image f_* of filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules via (1.1.1), see [22], 2.3. So we get

$$(1.1.3) \quad \mathbf{R}f_* = \mathrm{DR}^r \circ f_* \circ \mathrm{DR}^{-1} : D^b F(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{Diff}) \rightarrow D^b F(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathrm{Diff}),$$

where we use DR^r for right $\mathcal{D}$ -modules (otherwise there is a shift of complex).

1.2. De Rham complex. The de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}^r(M, F)$ of a filtered right $\mathcal{D}$ -module (M, F) is defined by

$$(1.2.1) \quad (\mathrm{DR}^r(M, F))^i = \bigwedge^{-i} \Theta_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (M, F[-i]) \quad \text{for } i \leq 0.$$

Here $(F[-i])_p = F_{p+i}$ in a compatible way with $(F[-i])^p = F^{p-i}$ and $F_p = F^{-p}$. Recall that the filtered right $\mathcal{D}$ -module associated with a filtered left $\mathcal{D}$ -module (M, F) is defined by

$$(1.2.2) \quad (M, F)^r := (\Omega_X^{\dim X}, F) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (M, F),$$

where $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F \Omega_X^{\dim X} = 0$ for $p \neq -\dim X$. This induces an equivalence of categories between the left and right $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. The usual de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(M, F)$ for a left $\mathcal{D}$ -module is defined by

$$(1.2.3) \quad (\mathrm{DR}(M, F))^i = \Omega_X^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (M, F[-i]) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0,$$

and this is compatible with (1.2.1) via (1.2.2) up to a shift of complex, i.e.

$$(1.2.4) \quad \mathrm{DR}(M, F) = \mathrm{DR}^r(M, F)^r[-\dim X].$$

1.3. Logarithmic complex. Let X be as in (1.1), and Y be a divisor with normal crossings on X . Let (V, F) be a filtered locally free $\mathcal{O}$ -module underlying a polarizable variation of Hodge structure on $X \setminus Y$. Let $(\tilde{V}, F)$ be the Deligne extension of (V, F) to X such that the eigenvalues of the residue of the connection are contained in $[0, 1)$. Then we have the filtered logarithmic de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}, F)$ such that F^p of its i -th component is

$$\Omega_X^i(\log Y) \otimes F^{p-i} \tilde{V}.$$

If $(M, F) = (\mathcal{O}_X, F)$ with $\mathrm{Gr}_p^F \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ for $p \neq 0$, then

$$\mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\mathcal{O}_X, F) = (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log X), F).$$

Let $\tilde{V}(*Y)$ be the localization of $\tilde{V}$ by a local defining equation of Y . This is a regular holonomic left $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module underlying a mixed Hodge module, and has the Hodge filtration F which is generated by the Hodge filtration F on $\tilde{V}$, i.e.

$$F_p \tilde{V}(*Y) = \sum_{\nu} \partial^\nu F^{-p+|\nu|} \tilde{V},$$

where $F_p = F^{-p}$ and $\partial^\nu = \prod_i \partial_i^{\nu_i}$ with $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$. Here $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a local coordinate system such that Y is contained in $\{x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\}$. By [23], 3.11, we have a filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(1.3.1) \quad \mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V}, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{DR}(\tilde{V}(*Y), F).$$

This generalizes the filtered quasi-isomorphism in [7]

$$(1.3.2) \quad (\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F).$$

Note that the direct image of the filtered $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module $(\tilde{V}(*Y), F)$ by $X \rightarrow pt$ in the case X projective (or proper algebraic) is given by the cohomology group of the de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(\tilde{V}(*Y), F)$ (up to a shift of complex) by definition, and the Hodge filtration F on the direct image is strict by the theory of Hodge modules. So we get

$$(1.3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} F^p \mathbf{H}^i(X \setminus Y, \mathrm{DR}(V)) &:= F^p \mathbf{H}^i(X, \mathrm{DR}(\tilde{V}(*Y))) \\ &= \mathbf{H}^i(X, F^p \mathrm{DR}(\tilde{V}(*Y))) = \mathbf{H}^i(X, F^p \mathrm{DR}_{\log}(\tilde{V})). \end{aligned}$$

1.4. Decomposition theorem. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism of complex manifolds or smooth algebraic varieties over a field of characteristic zero. Then the decomposition theorem of Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne [2] is extended to the case of Hodge modules ([22], [23]), and we have noncanonical and canonical isomorphisms

$$(1.4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_*(\mathcal{O}_X, F) &\simeq \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^j f_*(\mathcal{O}_X, F)[-j] \quad \text{in } D^b F(\mathcal{D}_S), \\ \mathcal{H}^j f_*(\mathcal{O}_X, F) &= \bigoplus_{Z \subset S} (M_Z^j, F) \quad \text{in } MF(\mathcal{D}_S), \end{aligned}$$

where Z are irreducible closed analytic or algebraic subsets of S , and (M_Z^j, F) are filtered $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules underlying a pure Hodge module of weight $j + \dim X$ and with strict support Z , i.e. M_Z^j has no nontrivial sub nor quotient module whose support is strictly smaller than Z . (Here $MF(\mathcal{D}_S)$ denotes the category of filtered left $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules.) Indeed, the second canonical isomorphism follows from the strict support decomposition which is part of the definition of pure Hodge modules, see [22], 5.1.6. The first noncanonical isomorphism follows from the strictness of the Hodge filtration and the relative hard Lefschetz theorem for the direct image (see [22], 5.3.1) using the E_2 -degeneration argument in [6] together with the equivalence of categories $D^b F(\mathcal{D}_S) \simeq D^b G(\mathcal{B}_S)$. Here $\mathcal{B}_S = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i \mathcal{D}_S$ and $D^b G(\mathcal{B}_S)$ is the derived category of bounded complexes of graded left $\mathcal{B}_S$ -modules $M_\bullet^j$ such that $M_i^j = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ or $|j| \gg 0$, see [22], 2.1.12. We need a derived category associated

to some abelian category in order to apply the argument in [6] (see also [9]). In the algebraic case, we can also apply [6] to the derived category of mixed Hodge modules on S and it is also possible to use [23], 4.5.4 to show the first noncanonical isomorphism.

If f is smooth over the complement of a divisor $D \subset S$ and $Y := f^*D$ is a divisor with normal crossings, then the filtered direct image $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)$ is strict (see [23], 2.15), and we have noncanonical and canonical isomorphisms

$$(1.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F) &\simeq \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^j f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)[-j] \quad \text{in } D^b F(\mathcal{D}_S), \\ \mathcal{H}^j f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F) &= (M_S^j(*D), F) \quad \text{in } MF(\mathcal{D}_S). \end{aligned}$$

Here $(M_S^j(*D), F)$ is the ‘localization’ of (M_S^j, F) along D which is the direct image of $(M_S^j, F)|_U$ by the open embedding $U := S \setminus D \rightarrow S$ in the category of filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules underlying mixed Hodge modules. (By the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, this gives the direct image in the category of complexes with constructible cohomology because D is a divisor.) The Hodge filtration F on the direct image is determined by using the V -filtration of Kashiwara and Malgrange, and $(M_S^j(*D), F)$ is the unique extension of $(M_S^j, F)|_U$ which underlies a mixed Hodge module on S and whose underlying $\mathcal{D}_S$ -module is the direct image in the category of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules, see [23], 2.11. So the second canonical isomorphism follows because the left-hand side satisfies these conditions. (Note that (M_Z^j, F) for $Z \neq S$ vanishes by the localization, because $Z \subset D$ if $(M_Z^j, F) \neq 0$.) The first noncanonical isomorphism follows from the strictness of the Hodge filtration and the relative hard Lefschetz theorem by the same argument as above.

1.5. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $r = \dim X - \dim S$. By (1.1.3), (1.3.2) and (1.4.2), we have isomorphisms

$$(1.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) &= \mathrm{DR}^r \circ f_* \circ \mathrm{DR}^{-1}(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F) \\ &= \mathrm{DR}^r \circ f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)[- \dim X] \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{DR}(M_S^i(*D), F)[-r - i], \end{aligned}$$

where the shift of complex by r follows from the difference of the de Rham complex for left and right $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. Furthermore, letting L be the filtration induced by τ on the complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)[-r]$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(1.5.2) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_i^L f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)[-r] = (M_S^{i-r}(*D), F)[-i],$$

and the first assertion follows by setting $M^i = M_S^{i-r}(*D)$. The second assertion follows from the first by (1.3.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Infinitesimal invariants of cycles

2.1. Cycle classes. Let X be a complex manifold, and $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet, \bullet}$ denote the double complex of vector spaces of currents on X . The associated single complex is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$. Let F be the Hodge filtration by the first index of $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet, \bullet}$ (using the truncation

σ in [8]). Let ξ be an analytic cycle of codimension p on X . Then it is well known that ξ defines a closed current in $F^p\mathcal{C}^{2p}$ by integrating the restrictions of C^∞ forms with compact supports on X to the smooth part of the support of ξ (and using a triangulization or a resolution of singularities of the cycle). So we have a cycle class of ξ in $H^{2p}(X, F^p\Omega_X^\bullet)$.

Assume X is a smooth algebraic variety over a field k of characteristic zero. Then the last assertion still holds (where $\Omega_X^\bullet$ means $\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet$), see [11]. Moreover, for the higher Chow groups, we have the cycle map (see [4], [10], [12], [15], [16])

$$cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(X, n) \rightarrow F^p H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2p-n}(X),$$

where the Hodge filtration F is defined by using a smooth compactification of X whose complement is a divisor with normal crossings, see [8]. This cycle map is essentially equivalent to the cycle map to $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p H_{\mathrm{DR}}^{2p-n}(X)$ because we can reduce to the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ where we have the cycle map

$$cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(X, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}, H^{2p-n}(X, \mathbb{Q})(p)),$$

and morphisms of mixed Hodge structures are strictly compatible with the Hodge filtration F .

2.2. Proof of Corollary 1. By (2.1) ξ has the cycle class in

$$H^{2p-n}(X, F^p\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y)).$$

By theorem 1, this gives the total infinitesimal invariant

$$\delta_{S,D}(\xi) = (\delta_{S,D}^{2p-n-i}(\xi)) \in \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{H}^{2p-n-i}(S, F^p\mathrm{DR}(M^i)),$$

and similarly for $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}(\xi)$. So the assertion follows.

2.3. Proof of Corollary 2. Choosing the first noncanonical isomorphism in the filtered decomposition theorem (1.4.2), we get canonical morphisms compatible with the direct sum decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^i(S, F^p\mathrm{DR}(M^{q-i})) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^i(S \setminus D, F^p\mathrm{DR}(M^{q-i})) \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^i(S \setminus D, \mathrm{DR}(M^{q-i})), \end{aligned}$$

and these are identified with the canonical morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^q(X, F^p\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y)) &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}^q(X \setminus Y, F^p\Omega_{X \setminus Y}^\bullet) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}^q(X \setminus Y, \Omega_{X \setminus Y}^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

By Deligne [8], the composition of the last two morphisms is injective because of the strictness of the Hodge filtration, see also (1.3). So we get the equivalence of $\delta_{S,D}^i(\xi)$, $\delta_U^i(\xi)$, $\delta_{\mathrm{DR},U}^i(\xi)$. The equivalence with $\bar{\delta}_{S,D}^i(\xi)$ follows from (2.1).

2.4. Compatibility with the definition in [1]. When D is empty, the infinitesimal invariants are defined in [1] by using the extension groups of filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules together with the forgetful functor from the category of mixed Hodge modules to that of filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. Its compatibility with the definition in this paper follows from the equivalence of categories (1.1.1) and the compatibility of the direct image functors (1.1.3).

Note that for $(L, F) \in D^b F(\mathcal{O}_X, \text{Diff})$ in the notation of (1.1), we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.4.1) \quad \text{Ext}^i((\Omega_X^\bullet, F), (L, F)) = \mathbf{H}^i(X, F_0 L),$$

where the extension group is taken in $D^b F(\mathcal{O}_X, \text{Diff})$. Indeed, the left-hand side is canonically isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}^i(\text{DR}^{-1}(\Omega_X^\bullet, F), \text{DR}^{-1}(L, F)) \\ &= \mathbf{H}^i(X, F_0 \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{DR}(\mathcal{D}_X, F), \text{DR}^{-1}(L, F))), \\ &= \mathbf{H}^i(X, F_0 \text{DR}^r \text{DR}^{-1}(L)), \end{aligned}$$

and the last group is isomorphic to the right-hand side of (2.4.1) which is independent of a representative of (L, F) . If X is projective, then this assertion follows also from the adjoint relation for filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

If X is smooth projective and Y is a divisor with normal crossings, then the cycle class can be defined in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^{2p}((\Omega_X^\bullet, F), \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), F[p]) &= \mathbf{H}^{2p}(X, F^p \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y)) \\ &= F^p \mathbf{H}^{2p}(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y)). \end{aligned}$$

2.5. Remarks. (i) If we use (1.4.1) instead of (1.4.2) we get an analogue of Theorem 1 for non logarithmic complexes. However, the assertion becomes more complicated, and we get noncanonical and canonical isomorphisms

$$(2.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet, F) &\simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, Z \subset S} (\text{DR}(M_Z^{i-r}), F)[-i]. \\ \text{Gr}_i^L \mathbf{R}f_*(\Omega_X^\bullet, F) &= \bigoplus_{Z \subset S} (\text{DR}(M_Z^{i-r}), F)[-i]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies an analogue of Corollary 1. If D is a divisor with normal crossings, we have a filtered quasi-isomorphism for $Z = S$

$$(2.5.2) \quad (\text{DR}_{\log}(\widetilde{M}_S^{i-r}), F) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{DR}(M_S^{i-r}), F),$$

where $\text{DR}_{\log}(\widetilde{M}_S^{i-r})$ is the intersection of $\text{DR}(M_S^{i-r})$ with $\text{DR}_{\log}(\widetilde{V}_S^i)$. This seems to be related with a question of Lewis and Shuji Saito, see also [19].

(ii) If $\dim S = 1$, we can inductively define the infinitesimal invariants in Corollary 1 by an argument similar to [24] using [26].

(iii) Assume S is projective and D is a divisor with normal crossings. Then the Leray filtration for $X \rightarrow S \rightarrow pt$ is given by the truncation τ on the complex of filtered $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)$, and gives the Leray filtration on the cohomology of $X \setminus Y$ (induced by the truncation τ as in [8]). Indeed, the graded pieces $\mathcal{H}^j f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(*Y), F)$ of the filtration τ on S coincide with $(\widetilde{V}^{j+r}(*D), F)$, and give the open direct images by $U \rightarrow S$ of the graded pieces (V^{j+r}, F) of the filtration τ on U as filtered $\mathcal{D}$ -modules underlying mixed Hodge modules. Note that the morphism $U \rightarrow S$ is open affine so that the direct image preserves regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

3. Examples

3.1. Lefschetz pencils. Let Y be a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension n embedded in a projective space $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. We assume that $Y \neq \mathcal{P}$ and Y is not contained in a hyperplane of $\mathcal{P}$ so that the hyperplane sections of Y are parametrized by the dual projective spaces $\mathcal{P}^\vee$. Let $D \subset \mathcal{P}^\vee$ denote the discriminant. This is the image of a projective bundle over Y (consisting of hyperplanes tangent to Y), and hence D is irreducible. At a smooth point of D , the corresponding hyperplane section of Y has only one ordinary double point. We assume that the associated vanishing cycle is not zero in the cohomology of general hyperplane section X . This is equivalent to the non surjectivity of $H^{n-1}(Y) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X)$.

A Lefschetz pencil of Y is a line $\mathbb{P}^1$ in $\mathcal{P}$ intersecting the discriminant D at smooth points of D (corresponding to hyperplane sections having only one ordinary double point). We have a projective morphism $\pi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\tilde{Y}_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ is the hyperplane section corresponding $t \in \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ is the blow-up of Y along a smooth closed subvariety Z of codimension 2 which is the intersection of $\tilde{Y}_t$ for any (or two of) $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

A Lefschetz pencil of hypersurface sections of degree d is defined by replacing the embedding of Y using $\mathcal{O}_Y(d)$ so that a hyperplane section corresponds to a hypersurface section of degree d . Here $\mathcal{O}_Y(d)$ for an integer d denote the invertible sheaf induced by that on $\mathcal{P}$ as usual.

3.2. Hypersurfaces containing a subvariety. Let $Y, \mathcal{P}$ be as in (3.2). Let E be a closed subvariety (which is not necessarily irreducible nor reduced). Let

$$E_{\{i\}} = \{x \in E : \dim T_x E = i\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_E$ be the ideal sheaf of E in Y . Let δ be a positive integer such that $\mathcal{I}_E(\delta)$ is generated by global sections. By [18], [20] (or [21]) we have the following

(3.2.1) If $\dim Y > \max\{\dim E_{\{i\}} + i\}$ and $d \geq \delta$, then there is a smooth hypersurface section of degree d containing E .

We have furthermore

(3.2.2) If $\dim Y > \max\{\dim E_{\{i\}} + i\} + 1$ and $d \geq \delta + 1$, then there is a Lefschetz pencil of hypersurface sections of degree d containing E .

Indeed, we have a pencil such that $\tilde{Y}_t$ has at most isolated singularities, because $\tilde{Y}_t$ is smooth near the center Z which is the intersection of generic two hypersurfaces sections containing E , and hence is smooth, see [18], [20] (or [21]). Note that a local equation of $\tilde{Y}_t$ near Z is given by $f - tg$ if t is identified with an appropriate affine coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$ where f, g are global sections of $\mathcal{I}_E(d)$ corresponding to smooth hypersurface sections.

To get only ordinary double points, note first that the parameter space of the hypersurfaces containing E is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{P}^\vee$. So it is enough to show that this linear subspace contains a point of the discriminant D corresponding to an ordinary double point. Thus we have to show that an isolated singularity

can be deformed to ordinary double points by replacing the corresponding section $h \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$ with $h + \sum_i t_i g_i$ where $g_i \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$ and the $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$ are general with sufficiently small absolute values. Since $d \geq \delta + 1$, we see that $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{I}_E(d))$ generates the 1-jets at each point of the complement of E . So the assertion follows from the fact that for a function with an isolated singularity f , the singularities of $\{f + \sum_i t_i x_i = 0\}$ are ordinary double points if $t_1, \dots, t_n$ are general, where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are local coordinates. (Note that f has an ordinary double point if and only if the morphism defined by $(\partial f / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n)$ is locally biholomorphic at this point.)

3.3. Construction. For $Y, \mathcal{P}$ be as in (3.1), let $i_{Y, \mathcal{P}} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ denote the inclusion. Assume

$$(3.3.1) \quad i_{Y, \mathcal{P}}^* : H^j(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow H^j(Y) \text{ is surjective for any } j \neq \dim Y,$$

where cohomology has coefficients in any field of characteristic zero. This condition is satisfied if Y is a complete intersection.

Let E_1, E_2 be m -dimensional irreducible closed subvarieties of Y such that

$$E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset, \quad \deg E_1 = \deg E_2.$$

Here $\dim Y = n = 2m + s + 1$ with $m \geq 0, s \geq 1$. Let $E = E_1 \cup E_2$. With the notation of (3.2), assume

$$(3.3.2) \quad d > \delta, \quad \dim Y > \max\{\dim E_{\{i\}} + i\} + s,$$

$$(3.3.3) \quad i_{X^{(j)}, Y}^* : H^{n-j}(Y) \rightarrow H^{n-j}(X^{(j)}) \text{ is not surjective for } j \leq s,$$

where $X^{(j)}$ is a general complete intersection of multi degree $(d, \dots, d)$ and of codimension j in Y . (This is equivalent to the condition that the vanishing cycles for a hypersurface $X^{(j)}$ of $X^{(j-1)}$ are nonzero.)

Let X be a general hypersurface of degree d in Y containing E , see (3.2.1). Let L denote the intersection of X with a general linear subspace of codimension $m + s$ in the projective space. Then $[E_a]$ ($a = 1, 2$) and $c[L \cap X]$ have the same cohomology class in $H^{2m+2s}(X)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, because $\dim H^{2m+2s}(X) = 1$ by the weak and hard Lefschetz theorems together with (3.3.1). Let

$$\xi_a = [E_a] - c[L \cap X] \in \mathrm{CH}^{m+s}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \quad (a = 1, 2).$$

These are homologous to zero. It may be expected that one of them is non torsion, generalizing an assertion in [24]. More precisely, let S be a smooth affine rational variety defined over a finitely generated subfield k of $\mathbb{C}$ and parametrizing the smooth hypersurfaces of degree d containing E as above so that there is the universal family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ defined over k (see [2], [28]). Assume X corresponds to a geometric generic point of S with respect to k , i.e. X is the geometric generic fiber for some embedding $k(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$\xi_{a, \mathcal{X}} = [E_a \times_k S] - c[L]_{\mathcal{X}} \in \mathrm{CH}^{m+s}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $[L]_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the pull-back of $[L]$ by $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$. Since the local system $\{H^{2m+2s-j}(\mathcal{X}_s)\}$ on S is constant for $j < s$ and S is smooth affine rational, we see that $\delta_S^j(\xi_{a, \mathcal{X}}) = 0$ for $j < s$. Then it may be expected that $\delta_S^s(\xi_{a, \mathcal{X}}) \neq 0$ for one of a , where S can be

replaced by any non empty open subvariety. We can show this for $s = 1$ as follows. (For $s > 1$, it may be necessary to assume further conditions on d , etc.)

3.4. Case $s = 1$. Consider a Lefschetz pencil $\pi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\tilde{Y}_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is a hypersurface of degree d in Y containing E . Here $\tilde{Y}$ is the blow-up of Y along a smooth closed subvariety Z , and Z is the intersection of $\tilde{Y}_t$ for any $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Note that $\tilde{Y}_t$ has an ordinary double point for $t \in \Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, where Λ denotes the discriminant, see (3.2.2).

Since Z has codimension 2 in Y , we have the isomorphism

$$(3.4.1) \quad H^n(\tilde{Y}) = H^n(Y) \oplus H^{n-2}(Z),$$

so that the cycle class of $[E_a \times \mathbb{P}^1] - c[L]_{\tilde{Y}} \in \text{CH}^{m+1}(\tilde{Y})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $H^n(\tilde{Y})$ is identified with the difference of the cycle class $cl_Z(E_a) \in H^{n-2}(Z)$ and the cycle class of L in $H^n(Y)$. Indeed, the injection $H^{n-2}(Z) \rightarrow H^n(\tilde{Y})$ in the above direct sum decomposition is defined by using the projection $Z \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow Z$ and the closed embedding $Z \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$, and the injection $H^n(Y) \rightarrow H^n(\tilde{Y})$ is the pull-back by $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$, see [17].

By assumption, one of the $cl_Z(E_a)$ is not contained in the non primitive part, i.e. not a multiple of the cohomology class of the intersection of general hyperplane sections. Indeed, if both are contained in the non primitive part, then $cl_Z(E_1) = cl_Z(E_2)$ and this implies the vanishing of the self intersection number $E_a \cdot E_a$ in Z .

We will show that the cycle class of $[E_a \times \mathbb{P}^1] - c[L]_{\tilde{Y}}$ does not vanish in the cohomology of $\pi^{-1}(U)$ for any non empty open subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^1$, in other words, it does not belong to the image of $\bigoplus_{t \in \Lambda'} H^n_{\tilde{Y}_t}(\tilde{Y})$ where Λ' is any finite subset of $\mathbb{P}^1$ containing Λ . (Note that the condition for the Lefschetz pencil is generic, and for any proper closed subvariety of the parameter space, there is a Lefschetz pencil whose corresponding line is not contained in this subvariety.)

Thus the assertion is reduced to that $\dim H^n_{\tilde{Y}_t}(\tilde{Y})$ is independent of $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ because this implies that the image of $H^n_{\tilde{Y}_t}(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H^n(\tilde{Y})$ is independent of t . (Note that the Gysin morphism $H^{n-2}(\tilde{Y}_t) \rightarrow H^n(\tilde{Y})$ for a general t can be identified with the direct sum of the Gysin morphism $H^{n-2}(\tilde{Y}_t) \rightarrow H^n(Y)$ and the restriction morphism $H^{n-2}(\tilde{Y}_t) \rightarrow H^{n-2}(Z)$ up to a sign, and the image of the last morphism is the non primitive part by the weak Lefschetz theorem.) By duality, this is equivalent to that $R^n \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{Y}}$ is a local system on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then it follows from the assumption that the vanishing cycles are nonzero, see (3.3.3).

REFERENCES

- [1] Asakura, M., Motives and algebraic de Rham cohomology, in The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 133–154.
- [2] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J. and Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [3] Bloch, S., Algebraic cycles and higher K -theory, Advances in Math., 61 (1986), 267–304.

- [4] Bloch, S., Algebraic cycles and the Beilinson conjectures, *Contemporary Math.* 58 (1) (1986), 65–79.
- [5] Collino, A., Griffiths’ infinitesimal invariant and higher K -theory on hyperelliptic Jacobians, *J. Alg. Geom.* 6 (1997), 393–415.
- [6] Deligne, P., Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* 35 (1968), 259–278.
- [7] Deligne, P., Equation différentielle à points singuliers réguliers, *Lect. Notes in Math.* vol. 163, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [8] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge I, *Actes Congrès Intern. Math.*, 1970, vol. 1, 425–430; II, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 40 (1971), 5–57; III, *ibid.*, 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [9] Deligne, P., Décompositions dans la catégorie dérivée, in *Motives* (Seattle, WA, 1991), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 115–128.
- [10] Deninger, C. and Scholl, A., The Beilinson conjectures, in *Proceedings Cambridge Math. Soc.* (eds. Coats and Taylor) 153 (1992), 173–209.
- [11] El Zein, F., Complexe dualisant et applications à la classe fondamentale d’un cycle, *Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. No.* 58 (1978)
- [12] Esnault, H. and Viehweg, E., Deligne-Beilinson cohomology, in *Beilinson’s conjectures on Special Values of L -functions*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988, pp. 43–92.
- [13] Green, M.L., Griffiths’ infinitesimal invariant and the Abel-Jacobi map, *J. Differential Geom.* 29 (1989), 545–555.
- [14] Griffiths, P.A., Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure, III, Determinantal varieties and the infinitesimal invariant of normal functions, *Compositio Math.* 50 (1983), 267–324.
- [15] Jannsen, U., Deligne homology, Hodge- D -conjecture, and motives, in *Beilinson’s conjectures on Special Values of L -functions*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988, pp. 305–372.
- [16] Jannsen, U., Mixed motives and algebraic K -theory, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 1400, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [17] Katz, N., Etude cohomologique des pinceaux de Lefschetz, in *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 340, Springer Berlin, 1973, pp. 254–327.
- [18] Kleiman, S. and Altman, A., Bertini theorems for hypersurface sections containing a subscheme, *Comm. Algebra* 7 (1979), 775–790.
- [19] Lewis, J.D. and Saito, S., preprint.
- [20] Otwinowska, A, Monodromie d’une famille d’hypersurfaces, preprint (math.AG/0403151).
- [21] Otwinowska, A. and Saito, M., Monodromy of a family of hypersurfaces containing a given subvariety, preprint (math.AG/0404469).
- [22] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 24 (1988), 849–995.
- [23] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge Modules, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [24] Saito, S., Higher normal functions and Griffiths groups, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 11 (2002), 161–201.
- [25] Schmid, W., Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping, *Inv. Math.* 22 (1973), 211–319.
- [26] Steenbrink, J.H.M., Limits of Hodge structures, *Inv. Math.* 31 (1975/76), no. 3, 229–257.
- [27] Voisin, C., Variations de structure de Hodge et zéro-cycles sur les surfaces générales, *Math. Ann.* 299 (1994), 77–103.
- [28] Voisin, C., Transcendental methods in the study of algebraic cycles, in *Lect. Notes in Math.* vol. 1594, pp. 153–222.

RIMS KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN

E-mail address: msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp